arXiv:1407.6120v3 [math.AC] 23 Sep 2015

HILBERT-KUNZ FUNCTIONS OF A HIRZEBRUCH SURFACE

V. TRIVEDI

Abstract. Here we compute Hilbert-Kunz functions of any nontrivial ruled surface over $\mathbf{P}^1_k$, with respect to all ample line bundles on it.

1. Introduction

Let X be a projective variety over $k = \bar{k}$, where char $p > 0$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is an ample line bundle on X, then one has the notions of Hilbert-Kunz function $HK(X, \mathcal{L})$ and Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity $e_{HK}(X, \mathcal{L})$ as

$$HK(X, \mathcal{L}) = HK_{R,R_+} \text{ and } e_{HK}(X, \mathcal{L}) = e_{HK}(R, R_+),$$

where $R = \oplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^m)$ and $R_+ = \oplus_{m>0} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^m)$. Recall that, for $q = p^n$, one defines the *Hilbert-Kunz function* of R with respect to I as

$$HK(R, I) = HK_{R,I}(q) = \ell(R/I^{[q]}),$$

where

$I^{[q]} = n$-th Frobenius power of I = ideal generated by q-th powers of elements of I.

The associated *Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity* is defined to be

$$e_{HK}(R, I) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{HK_{R,I}(q)}{q^d}.$$

where d denotes the dimension of the ring R. The Hilbert-Kunz function was introduced by Kunz [K1], [K2], and studied extensively by Monsky. Monsky proved in [M1] that the limit defining the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity exists. For a survey on Hilbert-Kunz functions and Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity one can refer to a recent article by Huneke [H].

In this paper, we look at the following question:

How do $e_{HK}(X, \mathcal{L})$ and $HK(X, \mathcal{L})$ behave as $\mathcal{L}$ varies in the ample cone of line bundles on X?

One knows the answer to this question for elliptic curves [NT] and nodal plane curves [M2]. Moreover for a line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}((k-1)\rho)$ on a full flag variety X, one has computed in [NT], $e_{HK}(X, \mathcal{L}^n)$ and $HK(X, \mathcal{L}^n)$, for all $n \geq 1$.

In this paper we compute $e_{HK}(X, \mathcal{L})$ and $HK(X, \mathcal{L})$, for Hirzebruch surfaces $X = \mathbf{F}_a$ and every ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on X. More precisely, in Theorem 3.2, for $\mathcal{L}$ given by $cD_1 + dD_4$, where D_1 and D_4 are generators of Pic(X), we write $e_{HK}(X, \mathcal{L})$ and $HK(X, \mathcal{L})$ explicitly in terms of a, c and d and periodic functions in q, determined by a, c and d.

Here we crucially use the fact that X is a nonsingular projective toric variety and a ruled surface.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D40, 14H60, 13H15.

One motivation for the computation is to generate more complicated examples of the behaviour of Hilbert-Kunz functions and multiplicities. In spite of extensive study of this subject, concrete examples or general principles are very few. One expects that such examples can be useful in future research, e.g., to make or test conjectures.

Note that if R is an affine toric variety then Watanabe [W] proved that $e_{HK}(R)$ is a rational number and W. Bruns [Br] asserted that $HK(R)(q)$ is a polynomial in q with periodic coefficients. In case of a three dimensional affine toric variety, e_{HK} has been given more explicitly in terms of the vectors of its semigroup ring, by Choi and An [CA]. Hilbert-Kunz functions for monomial ideals were determined by Conca [C].

2. Lemmas

Let $X = \mathbf{F}_a$ be the Hirzebruch surface with parameter $a \geq 1$, which is a ruled surface over $\mathbf{P}^1_k$, where k is a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Then X is a projective toric variety given by a fan Δ in the lattice $N = \mathbf{Z}^2$, with cones σ_1, σ_2, σ_3 and σ_4 given by bases $\{e_1, e_2\}$, $\{e_1, -e_2\}$, $\{-e_2, -e_1+ae_2, \}$ and $\{-e_1+ae_2, e_2\}$ respectively (see Fulton [F], Section 1.1).

We recall (see [F], Chapter 3) that $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is free on generators D_1 and D_4, where D_1, D_2, D_3 and D_4 are the irreducible torus-invariant divisors corresponding to the vectors e_1, e_2, $-e_1 + ae_2$ and $-e_2$, respectively. Since X is a ruled surface given by $\pi : X = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}) \to \mathbf{P}^1_k$, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_X(D_1) \simeq \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(1), \text{and } \mathcal{O}_X(D_4) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1),$$

where $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}$. Moreover, by standard criteria (see [F], Chapter 3) a line bundle $\mathcal{O}(cD_1 + dD_4)$ is generated by global sections (is ample) if $c \geq 0$ and $d \geq 0$ (if $c > 0$ and $d > 0$, respectively). Since any divisor

$$D = b_1D_1 + b_2D_2 + b_3D_3 + b_4D_4 \sim (b_1 - ab_2 + b_3)D_1 + (b_2 + b_4)D_4$$

upto linear equivalence in $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is generated by global sections if $b_2+b_4 \geq 0$ and $b_1+b_3 \geq ab_2$, and is ample if $b_2+b_4 > 0$ and $b_1+b_3 > ab_2$.

If $M = Hom(N, \mathbf{Z})$ denotes the dual lattice of N then $\chi = a_1e_1^* + a_2e_2^*$ in M gives a principal divisor $D_\chi = (-a_1)D_1 + (-a_2)D_2 + (a_1 - aa_2)D_3 + (a_2)D_4$ (following the convention of Fulton [F]). Consider the following map

$$\frac{1}{q} : G^* = \frac{M}{qM} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(X),$$

given by $\chi \mapsto \lfloor \frac{D_\chi}{q} \rfloor$. We use the following result by Lasoń-Michalek (Proposition 3.1 in [LM]) which was stated by Bondal [B].

Theorem *Let $L = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ be a line bundle on a smooth projective toric variety X then*

$$F_*(\mathcal{O}(D)) = \oplus_{\chi \in G^*} \mathcal{O}\left(\lfloor \frac{D + D_\chi}{q} \rfloor \right),$$

where $F : X \to X$ denotes the Frobenius map given by $x \mapsto x^q$.

Now we fix an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on X given by the divisor $D = cD_1 + dD_4$, in particular, we have $c > 0$ and $d > 0$. Let

$$R = \oplus_{m \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^m), R_m = H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^m) \text{ and let } \mathbf{m} = \oplus_{m>0} R_m.$$

Note that R is a standard graded ring. We want to compute the Hilbert-Kunz function of R with respect to the maximal ideal $\mathbf{m}$, which is given by

$$HK(R,\mathbf{m})(q) = \ell(R_0) + \cdots + \ell(R_{q-1}) + \sum_{m\geq 0} \ell\left(\frac{R_{m+q}}{Im(R_1^{[q]} \otimes R_m)}\right). \tag{1}$$

But $R_{m+q}/Im(R_1^{[q]} \otimes R_m) = \operatorname{coker}(\Phi_m)$, where Φ_m is given by the canonical map

$$\Phi_m : H^0(X,\mathcal{O}(D)) \otimes H^0(X, F_*\mathcal{O}(mD)) \longrightarrow H^0(X, F_*\mathcal{O}((m+q)D))$$

Now, by the above result of [LM],

$$F_*(\mathcal{O}(mD)) = \oplus_{\chi\in G^*}\mathcal{O}\left(\lfloor\frac{mD+D_\chi}{q}\rfloor\right),$$

where $\chi = a_1e_1^* + a_2e_2^*$, for $0 \leq a_1, a_2 < q$, and

$$D_\chi = -a_1D_1 - a_2D_2 + (a_1 - aa_2)D_3 + a_2D_4$$

is the corresponding principal divisor. Note that

$$\lfloor\frac{mD+D_\chi}{q}\rfloor = \lfloor\frac{(mc-a_1)}{q}\rfloor D_1 + \lfloor\frac{-a_2}{q}\rfloor D_2 + \lfloor\frac{(a_1-aa_2)}{q}\rfloor D_3 + \lfloor\frac{(md+a_2)}{q}\rfloor D_4.$$

From here onwards, throughout this section we fix integers $m \geq 1$, $q = p^n$ and an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on X (in particular integers $c \geq 1$ and $d \geq 1$). Let

$$\lfloor\frac{mD+D_\chi}{q}\rfloor \sim \alpha(a_1,a_2)D_1 + \beta(a_1,a_2)D_4.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(a_1,a_2) &= \lfloor\frac{mc-a_1}{q}\rfloor + \lfloor\frac{a_1-aa_2}{q}\rfloor - a\lfloor\frac{-a_2}{q}\rfloor, \text{ and}\\ \beta(a_1,a_2) &= \lfloor\frac{-a_2}{q}\rfloor + \lfloor\frac{md+a_2}{q}\rfloor.\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Let

$$A_{\alpha,\beta} = \{(a_1,a_2) \in Q \mid \alpha(a_1,a_2) = \alpha,\ \beta(a_1,a_2) = \beta\}$$

where the bijective map

$$Q = \{0,1,\ldots,q-1\} \times \{0,1,\ldots,q-1\} \to \{\chi \in G^*\}$$

is given by $(a_1,a_2) \mapsto a_1e_1^* + a_2e_2^*$. One can check that, if $A_{\alpha,\beta} \neq \phi$, then possible values of α and β are in the range;

$$-1 \leq \beta(a_1,a_2) \leq \lfloor md/q\rfloor \text{ and } t-1 \leq \alpha(a_1,a_2) \leq t+a, \text{ where } t = \lfloor mc/q\rfloor.$$

Moreover, since m,c,d,a,q are fixed, $\{A_{\alpha,\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta}$ are disjoint sets. Let $|A_{\alpha,\beta}|$ denote the cardinality of the set $A_{\alpha,\beta}$. Then

$$F_*(\mathcal{O}(mD)) = \oplus_{\{t-1\leq\alpha\leq t+a,\,-1\leq\beta\leq\lfloor md/q\rfloor\}}\mathcal{O}(\alpha D_1 + \beta D_2)^{|A_{\alpha,\beta}|}. \tag{3}$$

Let $\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}$ denote the canonical map (this is a restriction map of Φ_m),

$$\Phi_{\alpha,\beta} : H^0(X,\mathcal{O}(cD_1+dD_4)) \otimes H^0(X,\mathcal{O}(\alpha D_1+\beta D_4)) \longrightarrow H^0(X,\mathcal{O}((c+\alpha)D_1+(d+\beta)D_4)).$$

For a map Φ_-, let $|\text{coker } \Phi_-|$ denote the k-vector space dimension of coker Φ_-.

Lemma 2.1.

$$\begin{array}{lll} |\text{coker } \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}| = d\left(c+\alpha+1+\frac{(d-1)a}{2}\right) & \text{if} & \alpha \geq -1, \beta = -1 \\ |\text{coker } \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}| = 0 & \text{if} & \alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0 \\ |\text{coker } \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}| = (d+1)\left(c+\frac{ad}{2}\right) & \text{if} & \alpha = -1, \beta = 0 \\ |\text{coker } \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}| = c & \text{if} & \alpha = -1, \beta \geq 1 \end{array}$$

Proof. For the map $\pi : X = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(a)) \to \mathbf{P}^1$, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} h^0(X, \mathcal{O}(\alpha D_1 \oplus \beta D_4)) & = & h^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \pi_*\mathcal{O}(\alpha D_1 \oplus \beta D_4)) = h^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \pi_*(\pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(\alpha) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})}(\beta))) \\ & = & h^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(\alpha) \otimes \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})}(\beta)) = h^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(\alpha) \otimes S^\beta(\mathcal{E})). \end{array}$$

Therefore $|\text{coker } \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}|$ is the dimension of the cokernel of the canonical map

$$H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(c) \otimes S^d(\mathcal{E})) \otimes H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(\alpha) \otimes S^\beta(\mathcal{E})) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(c+\alpha) \otimes S^{d+\beta}(\mathcal{E})).$$

Note that, for $\beta = -1$, $h^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(\alpha) \otimes S^\beta(\mathcal{E})) = 0$.

Since $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(a)$, we have, for $\beta \geq 0$,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(\alpha) \otimes S^\beta(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(\alpha) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(\alpha + a) \oplus \cdots\cdots \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(\alpha + \beta a). \tag{4}$$

Therefore the map $\Phi_{\alpha,\beta}$ has a cokernel of the following k-vector space dimensions:

$$\begin{array}{lll} |\text{coker } \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}| = h^0(X, \mathcal{O}((c+\alpha)D_1 + (d+\beta)D_4)) & \text{if} & \alpha \geq -1, \beta = -1 \\ |\text{coker } \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}| = 0 & \text{if} & \alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0 \\ |\text{coker } \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}| = h^0(X, \mathcal{O}((c+\alpha)D_1 + (d+\beta)D_4)) & \text{if} & \alpha = -1, \beta = 0 \\ |\text{coker } \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}| = c & \text{if} & \alpha = -1, \beta \geq 1. \end{array}$$

Now from Equation (4), we conclude the lemma. □

Corollary 2.2. *Let $t = \lfloor mc/q \rfloor$ then*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} |\text{coker } \Phi_m| & = & \displaystyle\sum_{\alpha \geq t-1} d\left(c+\alpha+1+\frac{(d-1)a}{2}\right)|A_{\alpha,-1}| + (d+1)\left(c+\frac{ad}{2}\right)\sum_{\beta \geq 0}|A_{-1,\beta}| \\ & & \displaystyle -\left(dc + d(d+1)\frac{a}{2}\right)\sum_{\beta \geq 1}|A_{-1,\beta}|. \end{array}$$

Proof. By Equation (3), $|\text{coker } \Phi_m| = \sum_{\alpha \geq t-1, \beta \geq -1} |A_{\alpha,\beta}||\text{coker } \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}|$. Hence, by the above lemma,

$$|\text{coker } \Phi_m| = \sum_{\alpha \geq t-1} d\left(c+\alpha+1+\frac{(d-1)a}{2}\right)|A_{\alpha,-1}| + (d+1)\left(c+\frac{ad}{2}\right)|A_{-1,0}| + \sum_{\beta \geq 1} c|A_{-1,\beta}|.$$

This can be rewritten as stated in the corollary. □

Note that from Equation (1), we have

$$HK(X, \mathcal{L})(q) = \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} h^0(X, \mathcal{L}^m) + \sum_{m \geq 0} |\text{coker } \Phi_m|.$$

The above proof of the lemma implies that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} h^0(X, \mathcal{L}^m) & = & h^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(mc) \oplus \mathcal{O}(mc+a) \oplus \cdots + \mathcal{O}(mc + (md)a)) \\ & = & (md+1)\left[(mc+1) + \frac{amd}{2}\right] \end{array}$$

which implies

$$\sum_{m=0}^{q-1} h^0(X,\mathcal{L}^m) \;=\; \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} m^2 d\left(c+\frac{ad}{2}\right)+m\left(d+c+\frac{ad}{2}\right)+1$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m=0}^{q-1} h^0(X,\mathcal{L}^m) &= q^3\left(\frac{d}{3}(c+\frac{ad}{2})\right)+q^2\left(-\frac{d}{2}(c+\frac{ad}{2})+(d+c+\frac{ad}{2})\frac{1}{2}\right)\\ &\quad +q\left(\frac{d}{6}(c+\frac{ad}{2})-(d+c+\frac{ad}{2})\frac{1}{2}+1\right)\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Therefore we need to explicitly compute $|\text{coker } \Phi_m|$, for $m \geq 0$.

The following lemma determines the first term in the expression for $|\text{coker } \Phi_m|$ of Corollary 2.2. Instead of calculating each cardinality $|A_{\alpha,-1}|$, which amounts to counting points in two strips (depending on α) in Q, we use coefficients of $|A_{\alpha,-1}|$ (as given in Corollary 2.2) to directly compute the sum. The idea is to first divide the expression in *two parts*; one part is a constant multiple of the cardinality of $\cup_\alpha A_{\alpha,-1}$, which is the set of all integral points in a rectangle. To compute the second part, we first redistribute $|A_{\alpha,-1}|'s$, using their coefficients. Then we split each $A_{\alpha,-1}$ as a disjoint union of B_α and $\widetilde{B}_\alpha$. Using this, the sum is reshuffled in a certain way, to carry out the computation.

Note that, by Equation (2), for $(a_1,a_2) \in Q$,

$$\beta(a_1,a_2) = -1 \iff a_2 \neq 0 \text{ and } md + a_2 < q.$$

Therefore, for every α

$$A_{\alpha,-1} = \{(a_1,a_2) \in Q \mid 0 < a_2 < q - md,\ \alpha(a_1,a_2) = \alpha\}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *If $m \geq q/d$ then $A_{\alpha,-1} = \phi$, for every α, therefore*

$$\sum_\alpha d\left(c+\alpha+1+\frac{(d-1)a}{2}\right)|A_{\alpha,-1}| = 0.$$

If $0 \leq m < q/d$ then, for $t = \lfloor mc/q \rfloor$,

$$\sum_{\alpha \geq t-1} d\left(c+\alpha+1+\frac{(d-1)a}{2}\right)|A_{\alpha,-1}| = d(q-md-1)\left[(q+m)\left(c+\frac{ad}{2}\right)+1\right].$$

Proof. Note $m \geq q/d \Rightarrow q - md \leq 0 \Rightarrow A_{\alpha,-1} = \phi$. Therefore the first assertion follows.

Now we assume $0 \leq m < q/d$. Let $l_0 = c + (d-1)(a/2)$ and let us denote $A_{\alpha,-1} = A_\alpha$; then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha \geq t-1} d\,(l_0+\alpha+1)\,|A_{\alpha,-1}| &= d\Big[(l_0+t)|A_{t-1}| + (l_0+t+1)|A_t| + \cdots + (l_0+t+a+1)|A_{t+a}|\Big]\\ &= \left(d(l_0+t)\sum_\alpha |A_\alpha|\right) + d|A_t| + 2d|A_{t+1}| + \cdots + (a+1)d|A_{t+a}|.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\alpha \geq t-1} d\,(l_0+\alpha+1)\,|A_{\alpha,-1}| = \left(d(l_0+t)\sum_\alpha |A_\alpha|\right) + d\Big[|A_t| + 2|A_{t+1}| + \cdots + (a+1)|A_{t+a}|\Big] \tag{6}$$

Since

$$A_{t+l} =: A_{t+l,-1} = \{(a_1, a_2) \in Q \mid 0 < a_2 < q - md,\ \alpha(a_1, a_2) = t + l\},$$

we have $\bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha = \{(a_1, a_2) \in Q \mid 0 < a_2 < q - md,\ 0 \le a_1 < q\}$. Since this is a disjoint union of sets, we have $\sum_\alpha |A_\alpha| = q(q - md - 1)$.

Now we express, each A_{t+l} as a disjoint union of two sets,

$$A_{t+l} = B_{t+l} \cup \widetilde{B}_{t+l},$$

where $B_{t+l} = \{(a_1, a_2) \in Q \mid 0 < a_2 < q - md,\ mc - tq < a_1,\ \alpha(a_1, a_2) = t + l\}$ and $\widetilde{B}_{t+l} = \{(a_1, a_2) \in Q \mid 0 < a_2 < q - md,\ mc - tq \ge a_1,\ \alpha(a_1, a_2) = t + l\}$.

Claim.

$$B_{t+l} = \{(a_1, a_2) \in Q \mid 0 < a_2 < q-md,\ mc-tq < a_1, (l+1)q \le a_1+a(q-a_2) < (l+2)q\}$$

and

$$\widetilde{B}_{t+l} = \{(a_1, a_2) \in Q \mid 0 < a_2 < q-md,\ mc-tq \ge a_1,\ lq \le a_1+a(q-a_2) < (l+1)q\}$$

Proof of the claim. Note that $0 < a_2$ implies that $\lfloor -a_2/q \rfloor = -1$, therefore $-a\lfloor -a_2/q \rfloor = a$. Also, $mc - tq = r$, for some integer $0 \le r < q$. Therefore $\lfloor (mc-a_1)/q \rfloor = t+\lfloor (r-a_1)/q \rfloor$. If $(a_1, a_2) \in B_{t+l}$ then $-q < r-a_1 = mc-a_1-tq < 0$, which implies that $\lfloor (r - a_1)/q \rfloor = -1$. Hence

$$\alpha(a_1, a_2) = t+l \iff \lfloor \frac{a_1 - aa_2}{q} \rfloor = l+1-a \iff (l+1)q \le a_1+a(q-a_2) < (l+2)q.$$

Similarly one can check for $\widetilde{B}_{t+l}$. This proves the claim.

One can check that, $B_{t+a} = \phi$, hence $|B_{t+a}| = 0$. Therefore we can rewrite the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big[|A_t| + 2|A_{t+1}| + \cdots + (a+1)|A_{t+a}|\Big] \\
&= \Big[|A_t| + |A_{t+1}| + \cdots + |A_{t+a}|\Big] + \Big[|A_{t+1}| + |A_{t+2}| + \cdots + |A_{t+a}|\Big] + \cdots + \Big[|A_{t+a}|\Big] \\
&= \Big[S_1 + |\widetilde{B}_t|\Big] + \Big[S_2 + |\widetilde{B}_{t+1}|\Big] + \cdots + \Big[S_a + |\widetilde{B}_{t+a-1}|\Big] + \Big[|\widetilde{B}_{t+a}|\Big],
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$S_1 := \Big[\Big\{|B_t| + |\widetilde{B}_{t+1}|\Big\} + \cdots + \Big\{|B_{t+a-1}| + |\widetilde{B}_{t+a}|\Big\}\Big]$$

$$S_2 := \Big[\Big\{|B_{t+1}| + |\widetilde{B}_{t+2}|\Big\} \cdots \Big\{|B_{t+a-1}| + |\widetilde{B}_{t+a}|, \Big\}\Big]$$

$$\cdots S_a := \Big[\Big\{|B_{t+a-1}| + |\widetilde{B}_{t+a}|\Big\}\Big].$$

Hence

$$|A_t|+2|A_{t+1}|+\cdots+(a+1)|A_{t+a}| = S_1+S_2+\cdots+S_a+|\widetilde{B}_t|+|\widetilde{B}_{t+1}|+\cdots|\widetilde{B}_{t+a}|. \tag{7}$$

Note that

$$|B_{t+l}|+|\widetilde{B}_{t+l+1}| = |\{(a_1, a_2) \in Q \mid 0 < a_2 < q - md,\ (l+1)q \le a_1 + a(q - a_2) < (l+2)q\}|.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 &= |\{(a_1, a_2) \in Q \mid 0 < a_2 < q - md,\ 0 \le a_1 < q,\ q \le a_1 + a(q - a_2)\}| \\
&= |\{(\widetilde{a}_1, a_2) \in \mathbf{Z}^2 \mid 0 < a_2 < q - md,\ (a-1)q \le \widetilde{a}_1 < aq,\ 0 \le \widetilde{a}_1 - aa_2\}|
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= |\{(a_1,a_2)\in Q \mid 0<a_2<q-md,\ 0\le a_1<q,\ 2q\le a_1+a(q-a_2)\}| \\ &= |\{(\widetilde{a}_1,a_2)\in \mathbf{Z}^2 \mid 0<a_2<q-md,\ (a-2)q\le \widetilde{a}_1<(a-1)q,\ 0\le \widetilde{a}_1-aa_2\}| \end{aligned}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_a &= |\{(a_1,a_2)\in Q \mid 0<a_2<q-md,\ 0\le a_1<q,\ aq\le a_1+a(q-a_2)\}| \\ &= |\{(\widetilde{a}_1,a_2)\in \mathbf{Z}^2 \mid 0<a_2<q-md,\ 0\le \widetilde{a}_1<q,\ 0\le \widetilde{a}_1-aa_2\}| \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$S_1+S_2+\cdots+S_a = |\{(\widetilde{a}_1,a_2)\in \mathbf{Z}^2 \mid\ 0<a_2<q-md,\ 0\le \widetilde{a}_1<aq,\ \text{and } 0\le \widetilde{a}_1-aa_2\}|.$$

We note that, by hypothesis $q > md$, and $0 < aa_2 \le a(q-md) \le aq$, provided $0 < a_2 < q-md$. Hence we can write

$$S_1+S_2+\cdots+S_a = T_1+T_2, \tag{8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= |\{(\widetilde{a}_1,a_2)\in \mathbf{Z}^2 \mid\ 0<a_2<q-md,\ 0\le \widetilde{a}_1<a(q-md),\ 0\le \widetilde{a}_1-aa_2\}| \\ &= |\{(\widetilde{a}_1,a_2)\in \mathbf{Z}^2 \mid\ 0<a_2<q-md,\ aa_2\le \widetilde{a}_1<a(q-md)\}| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &= |\{(\widetilde{a}_1,a_2)\in \mathbf{Z}^2 \mid\ 0<a_2<q-md,\ a(q-md)\le \widetilde{a}_1<aq,\ 0\le \widetilde{a}_1-aa_2\}| \\ &= |\{(\widetilde{a}_1,a_2)\in \mathbf{Z}^2 \mid\ 0<a_2<q-md,\ a(q-md)\le \widetilde{a}_1<aq,\}|. \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$T_1 = \sum_{a_2=1}^{q-md-1} a(q-md-a_2) = \frac{a}{2}(q-md)(q-md-1).$$

Next we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\widetilde{B}_t|+|\widetilde{B}_{t+1}|+\cdots|\widetilde{B}_{t+a}| \\ &= |\{(a_1,a_2)\in Q \mid 0\le a_1\le mc-tq,\ 0<a_2<q-md,\ 0\le a_1+a(q-a_2)<(a+1)q\}| \\ &= |\{(a_1,a_2)\in Q \mid\ 0\le a_1\le mc-tq,\ 0<a_2<q-md\ \}| \\ &= |\{(\widetilde{a}_1,a_2)\in \mathbf{Z}^2 \mid aq\le \widetilde{a}_1\le mc-tq+aq,\ 0<a_2<q-md\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $mc-tq\ge 0$ and $amd\ge 0$, we have that $aq-amd\le aq\le aq+mc-tq$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} &T_2+|\widetilde{B}_t|+|\widetilde{B}_{t+1}|+\cdots|\widetilde{B}_{t+a}| \\ &= |\{(\widetilde{a}_1,a_2)\in \mathbf{Z}^2 \mid\ a(q-md)\le \widetilde{a}_1\le aq+mc-tq,\ 0<a_2<q-md\}| \\ &= (q-md-1)(mc-tq+amd+1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $md<q$, by Equations (7) and (8), we have

$$d|A_t|+2d|A_{t+1}|+\cdots+(a+1)d|A_{t+a}| = d\left[T_1+\left(T_2+|\widetilde{B}_t|+|\widetilde{B}_{t+1}|+\cdots|\widetilde{B}_{t+a}|\right)\right]$$

$$= \frac{ad}{2}(q-md)(q-md-1)+d(q-md-1)(mc-tq+amd+1).$$

Therefore, by Equation (6),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\sum_{\alpha\geq t-1} d\,(l_0+\alpha+1)\,|A_{\alpha,-1}| \\
&= \sum_{\alpha\geq t-1} d(l_0+t)|A_{\alpha,-1}| + d(q-md-1)\left[\frac{a}{2}(q-md)+(mc-tq+amd+1)\right] \\
&= d(l_0+t)q(q-md-1) + d(q-md-1)\left[\frac{a}{2}(q-md)+(mc-tq+amd+1)\right] \\
&= dq(q-md-1)\left(c+\frac{(d-1)a}{2}\right) + d(q-md-1)\left[\frac{a}{2}(q-md)+(mc+amd+1)\right] \\
&= d(q-md-1)\left[(q+m)\left(c+\frac{ad}{2}\right)+1\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof. □

Now we compute $\sum_{\beta\geq 0}|A_{-1,\beta}|$ and $\sum_{\beta\geq 1}|A_{-1,\beta}|$. Note that, from Equation (1), for $(a_1,a_2)\in Q$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta(a_1,a_2)\geq 0 \quad &\iff \quad \text{either (1) } a_2=0 \text{ or (2) } a_2\neq 0 \text{ and } q-md\leq a_2,\\
\beta(a_1,a_2)\geq 1 \quad &\iff \quad \text{either (1) } a_2=0, md\geq q \text{ or (2) } a_2\neq 0 \text{ and } 2q-md\leq a_2.\\
\alpha(a_1,a_2)=-1 \quad &\iff \quad \text{either (1) } a_2=0,\ mc<a_1 \text{ or (2) } a_2\neq 0,\ mc<a_1,\ a_1+a(q-a_2)<q.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore (note that the condition $a_1+a(q-a_2)<q$ implies that $a_2\neq 0$, as $a\geq 1$)

$$\bigcup_{\beta\geq 0} A_{-1,\beta} = \{(a_1,0)\in Q \mid mc<a_1\}\cup\{(a_1,a_2)\in Q \mid mc<a_1,\ q-md\leq a_2,\ a_1+a(q-a_2)<q\},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\bigcup_{\beta\geq 1} A_{-1,\beta} \\
&= \{(a_1,0)\in Q \mid mc<a_1,\ md\geq q\}\cup\{(a_1,a_2)\in Q \mid mc<a_1,\ 2q-md\leq a_2,\ a_1+a(q-a_2)<q\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{A_{-1,\beta}\}_\beta$ are disjoint sets, we have $|\bigcup_\beta A_{-1,\beta}| = \sum_\beta |A_{-1,\beta}|$.

Lemma 2.4.

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1)\quad \sum_{\beta\geq 0}|A_{-1,\beta}| = \ (md+1)\left(q-mc-1-\frac{amd}{2}\right), && \text{if } 0\leq m<\frac{q}{(c+ad)},\\
&(2)\quad \sum_{\beta\geq 0}|A_{-1,\beta}| = \ \lceil\frac{q-mc}{a}\rceil\left(q-mc-1-\frac{a}{2}\left(\lceil\frac{q-mc}{a}\rceil-1\right)\right), && \text{if } \frac{q}{(c+ad)}\leq m<\frac{q}{c},\\
&(3)\quad \sum_{\beta\geq 0}|A_{-1,\beta}| = \ 0, && \text{if } \frac{q}{c}\leq m.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $m>0$, we can write

$$\bigcup_{\beta\geq 0} A_{-1,\beta} = \{(a_1,0)\in Q \mid mc<a_1\} \bigcup \bigcup_{i=1}^{md} X_i,$$

where $X_i=\{(a_1,q-i)\in Q \mid mc<a_1<q-ai\}$.
(1) Suppose $0\leq m<q/(c+ad)$. For $m=0$,

$$\bigcup_{\beta\geq 0} A_{-1,\beta} = \{(a_1,0)\in Q \mid 0<a_1\} \implies \sum_{\beta\geq 0}|A_{-1,\beta}| = q-1,$$

which satisfies the formula (1) in this case.

Let $m\geq 1$. Now, for all $1\leq i\leq md$, we have $mc<q-ai$, which implies $|X_i| = q-mc-ai-1$, for all $1\leq i\leq md$.

Therefore

$$\sum_{\beta\geq 0}|A_{-1,\beta}| = (q-mc-1)+\sum_{i=1}^{md}(q-mc-ai-1) = (md+1)(q-mc-1-\frac{amd}{2}).$$

(2) Suppose $q/c > m \geq q/(c+ad)$ then $mc < q-ai \iff 1 \leq i \leq \lceil (q-mc)/a\rceil - 1$. Moreover $\lceil (q-mc)/a\rceil - 1 \leq md$, therefore

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{md} X_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\lceil q-mc/a\rceil -1} X_i.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\beta\geq 0}|A_{-1,\beta}| = \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil (q-mc)/a\rceil -1}(q-ai-1-mc) = \lceil \frac{q-mc}{a}\rceil \left(q-mc-1-\frac{a}{2}\left(\lceil \frac{q-mc}{a}\rceil -1\right)\right).$$

(3) Suppose $m \geq q/c$, then $A_{-1,\beta} = \phi$, for all β. This implies $\sum_{\beta\geq 0}|A_{-1,\beta}| = 0$.

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 2.5. *(1) If $c \geq d$ then $A_{-1,\beta} = \phi$, for all $\beta \geq 1$, therefore*

$$\sum_{\beta\geq 1}|A_{-1,\beta}| = 0, \text{ for all } m \geq 0.$$

(2) If $c < d$, then

(i) $\sum_{\beta\geq 1}|A_{-1,\beta}| = 0$, *if* $0 \leq m < q/d$

(ii) $\sum_{\beta\geq 1}|A_{-1,\beta}| = (md-q+1)\left(q-mc-1-\frac{a}{2}(md-q)\right)$, *if* $\frac{q}{d} \leq m < \frac{(a+1)q}{(c+ad)}$

(iii) $\sum_{\beta\geq 1}|A_{-1,\beta}| = \lceil \frac{q-mc}{a}\rceil \left(q-mc-1-\frac{a}{2}\left(\lceil \frac{q-mc}{a}\rceil -1\right)\right)$, *if* $\frac{(a+1)q}{(c+ad)} \leq m < \frac{q}{c}$.

Proof. If $md < q$ then $\beta = -1$ or 0. Hence $\sum_{\beta\geq 1}|A_{-1,\beta}| = 0$, for $m < q/d$.

If $c \geq d$ then $m < q/c \implies m < q/d$ and therefore $\sum_{\beta\geq 1}|A_{-1,\beta}| = 0$, for $m < q/c$. If $m \geq q/c$ then $A_{-1,\beta} = \phi$ for all β. This proves assertions (1) and (2) (i) of the lemma.

Now suppose $c < d$ and $q/d \leq m$. We then have

$$\bigcup_{\beta\geq 1} A_{-1,\beta} = \{(a_1,0) \in Q \mid mc < a_1,\} \bigcup \bigcup_{i=1}^{md-q} X_i,$$

where $X_i = \{(a_1, q-i) \in Q \mid mc < a_1 < q-ai\}$.

(ii) Let $q/d \leq m < (a+1)q/(c+ad)$. Then

$$mc < q-ai, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq md-q.$$

Therefore $|X_i| = q-mc-1-ai$, for all $1 \leq i \leq md-q$. Hence

$$\sum_{\beta\geq 1}|A_{-1,\beta}| = \sum_{i=0}^{md-q}(q-mc-1-ai).$$

(iii) Let $(a+1)q/(c+ad) \leq m < q/c$. Then

$$mc < q-ai \iff i \leq \lceil \frac{q-mc}{a}\rceil - 1, \text{ also } \lceil \frac{q-mc}{a}\rceil - 1 \leq md-q.$$

Hence

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{md-q} X_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\lceil q-mc/a\rceil -1} X_i.$$

(This union could be empty, if $\lceil q - mc/a\rceil = 1$). Therefore

$$\sum_{\beta\geq 1} |A_{-1,\beta}| = \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil q-mc/a\rceil -1} (q - mc - 1 - ai).$$

Now the proof of the lemma follows. □

3. Main Theorem

Throughout this section, unless stated otherwise, we have $m \geq 0$ and $a, c, d \geq 1$ are integers and $q = p^n$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where p is a prime number. Let us fix the following notation:

Notations 3.1.

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_0 &= \lceil q/(ad+c)\rceil - q/(ad+c)\\
\bar{\epsilon_0} &= \lceil (a+1)q/(ad+c)\rceil - (a+1)q/(ad+c)\\
\epsilon_1 &= \lceil q/c\rceil - q/c\\
\epsilon_2 &= \lceil q/d\rceil - q/d\\
\delta_0 &= \lceil q/a\rceil - q/a\\
a_1 &= a/g.c.d(a,c)\\
\Delta_0 &= \left(\frac{q}{c} + \epsilon_1 - 1\right) - \lfloor \frac{q}{a_1 c} + \frac{\epsilon_1 - 1}{a_1}\rfloor a_1\\
\Delta_1 &= \left(\frac{q}{(ad+c)} + \epsilon_0 - 1\right) - \lfloor \frac{q}{a_1(ad+c)} + \frac{\epsilon_0 - 1}{a_1}\rfloor a_1\\
\Delta_2 &= \left(\frac{(a+1)q}{(ad+c)} + \bar{\epsilon}_0 - 1\right) - \lfloor \frac{(a+1)q}{a_1(ad+c)} + \frac{\bar{\epsilon}_0 - 1}{a_1}\rfloor a_1,\\
M_1 &= \frac{aa_1\delta_0^2}{2} + \delta_0\frac{2a_1 - a}{2} + \frac{(a_1-1)(6a_1 - aa_1 - a)}{12a_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that if $a = 1$ then $\delta_0 = \Delta_0 = \Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = M_1 = 0$. If $a \mid c$ (*i.e.*, $a_1 = 1$) then $\Delta_0 = \Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = 0$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $X = \mathbf{F}_a$ be a ruled surface over a field of characteristic $p > 0$ and let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample line bundle on X given by $\mathcal{L} = cD_1 + dD_4$, where a, c, d are arbitrary positive integers. Let $q = p^n$, where $n \geq 1$.*

If $c \geq d$ then $HK(X, \mathcal{L})(q) = (*)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
(*) \;=\; & q^3\left((c+\frac{ad}{2})\left[\frac{d}{3}+\frac{(d+1)d}{6c(ad+c)}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{6d}\right]\right)\\
& +q^2\left((c+\frac{ad}{2})(d+1)\left[\frac{1}{4c}+\frac{1}{4(ad+c)}-\frac{d}{2(ad+c)}-\frac{1}{2d}\right]+\frac{d+1}{2}\right)\\
& +q\left((c+\frac{ad}{2})(d+1)\left[\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{12}-\frac{1}{c}-\left[\frac{1}{a_1}M_1-1\right]\frac{ad}{c(ad+c)}-\frac{d\epsilon_2^2}{2}+\frac{d\epsilon_2}{2}-\epsilon_2\right]\right)\\
& -q^0\left((c+\frac{ad}{2})(d+1)\left\{\frac{(ad+c)\epsilon_0}{2}\left[\frac{(\epsilon_0-1)}{2}+\frac{ad+c}{3a}(\epsilon_0^2-\frac{3}{2}\epsilon_0+\frac{1}{2})\right]\right.\right.\\
& +\frac{c\epsilon_1}{2}\left[\frac{(\epsilon_1-1)}{2}-\frac{c}{3a}(\epsilon_1^2-\frac{3}{2}\epsilon_1+\frac{1}{2})\right]\\
& +(\frac{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_0+\Delta_1-\Delta_0}{a_1})M_1+\frac{a}{2}(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i^2-\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_1}\delta_i^2)\\
& \left.\left.+(1-\frac{a}{2})(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i-\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_1}\delta_i)+\epsilon_0-\frac{c\epsilon_1}{2a}(\epsilon_1-1)+\frac{c\epsilon_0}{2a}(\epsilon_0-1)+\frac{d\epsilon_0}{2}(\epsilon_0-1)\right\}\right.\\
& \left.+(c+\frac{ad}{2})(d\epsilon_2)\left[\frac{\epsilon_2^2 d}{3}-\frac{d\epsilon_2}{2}+\frac{d}{6}+\frac{\epsilon_2}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right]+\left[\frac{d^2\epsilon_2^2}{2}-\frac{d^2\epsilon_2}{2}+d\epsilon_2\right]\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and if $c<d$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
HK(X,\mathcal{L})(q) \;=\; & (*)+q^3\left\{d(c+\frac{(d+1)a}{2})\left[\frac{(a+1)^3}{6a(ad+c)}-\frac{1}{6ac}-\frac{a}{6d}-\frac{1}{2d}+\frac{c}{6d^2}\right]\right\}\\
& -q^2\left\{d(c+\frac{(d+1)a}{2})\left[\frac{(a+2)(a+1)^2}{4a(c+ad)}+\frac{a-2}{4ac}-\frac{(a+2)}{4d}-\frac{1}{d}+\frac{c}{2d^2}\right]\right\}\\
& +q^1 f_1(a,c,d,\bar{\epsilon_0},\epsilon_2,\delta_0)+q^0 f_0(a,c,d,\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,\bar{\epsilon_0},\Delta_0,\Delta_2,\delta_0,\cdots,\delta_{a_1-1}),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\epsilon_i,\delta_i's$ and $\Delta_i's$ are the above periodic functions in q, and $f_i(x_1,\ldots)$ denote polynomials in $x_1,\ldots$. Moreover $f_i(x_1,\ldots)$ can be written down explicitly by putting together the computations given in the proof.

Remark 3.3. *One can check that, for any triple (d,q,ϵ_2), where d,q are positive integers and $\epsilon_2=\lceil q/d\rceil-q/d$, we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/d\rceil-1}1 &= q+d\epsilon_2\\
d\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/d\rceil-1}md &= \frac{q^2}{2}+q\left(d\epsilon_2-\frac{d}{2}\right)+q^0\left(\frac{d^2\epsilon_2^2}{2}-\frac{d^2\epsilon_2}{2}\right)\\
\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/d\rceil-1}(q-md) &= \frac{q^2}{2d}+\frac{q}{2}+\frac{d\epsilon_2}{2}(1-\epsilon_2)\\
\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/d\rceil-1}d(q-md-1) &= \frac{q^2}{2}+q\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)-q^0\left(\frac{d^2\epsilon_2^2}{2}-\frac{d^2\epsilon_2}{2}+d\epsilon_2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/d\rceil-1} m^2d^3 &= \frac{q^3}{3}+q^2\left(d\epsilon_2-\frac{d}{2}\right)+q\left(d^2\epsilon_2^2-d^2\epsilon_2+\frac{d^2}{6}\right)\\
&\quad +q^0\left(\frac{d^3\epsilon_2^3}{3}-\frac{d^3\epsilon_2^2}{2}+\frac{d^3\epsilon_2}{6}\right)\\
\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/d\rceil-1} d^2m(q-md-1) &= \frac{q^3}{6}-q^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)-q\left(\frac{d^2\epsilon_2(\epsilon_2-1)}{2}+\frac{d^2}{6}+d\epsilon_2-\frac{d}{2}\right)\\
&\quad -q^0\left((d^2\epsilon_2)(\frac{\epsilon_2^2d}{3}-\frac{d\epsilon_2}{2}+\frac{d}{6}+\frac{\epsilon_2-1}{2})\right)\\
\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/d\rceil-1} (q-md)^2 &= \frac{q^3}{3d}+\frac{q^2}{2}+q\left(\frac{d}{6}\right)+q^0\left(\frac{d^2\epsilon_2}{3}(\epsilon_2^2-\frac{3}{2}\epsilon_2+\frac{1}{2})\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that q here need not be a power of prime number. In particular the computation holds for triples like $(c+ad,(a+1)q,\bar{\epsilon_0})$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let* $mc<q$ *and let* $\delta_m=\lceil(q-mc)/a\rceil-(q-mc)/a$. *Then*

(1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=\lceil q/(c+ad)\rceil}^{\lceil \frac{q}{c}\rceil-1}(\frac{a\delta_m^2}{2}+(1-\frac{a}{2})\delta_m-1) &= q\left[\frac{ad}{c(ad+c)}(\frac{M_1}{a_1}-1)\right]+\Big[(\frac{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_0+\Delta_1-\Delta_0}{a_1})M_1\\
&\quad +\frac{a}{2}(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i^2-\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_1}\delta_i^2)+(1-\frac{a}{2})(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i-\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_1}\delta_i)+(\epsilon_0-\epsilon_1)\Big],
\end{aligned}$$

and

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=\lceil (a+1)q/ad+c\rceil}^{\lceil q/c\rceil-1} -\frac{a}{2}\delta_m^2+(\frac{a}{2}-1)\delta_m &= q\left[\frac{a(c-d)}{a_1c(ad+c)}(M_1)\right]+\left[(\frac{\bar{\epsilon_0}-\epsilon_1+\Delta_0-\Delta_2)}{a_1})(M_1)\right.\\
&\quad \left.+\frac{a-2}{2}(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i-\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_2}\delta_i)-\frac{a}{2}(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i^2-\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_2}\delta_i^2)\right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_0,\Delta_1,\Delta_2$ *and* M_1 *are defined as in Notation 3.1.*

Proof. Note that δ_m is a periodic function in m of period $a_1=a/\text{g.c.d.}(a,c)$, therefore $\delta_{a_1+x}=\delta_x$, for every integer $x\geq 0$. Moreover

$$\sum_{i=0}^{a_1-1}\delta_i=\sum_{i=0}^{a_1-1}(\delta_0+\frac{i}{a_1})=\delta_0a_1+\frac{a_1-1}{2}.$$

Case (1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/c\rceil-1}\delta_m &= (\delta_0+\cdots+\delta_{a_1-1})+\cdots+(\delta_{(l-1)a_1}+\cdots+\delta_{la_1-1})+(\delta_{la_1}+\cdots+\delta_{\lceil q/c\rceil-1})\\
&= l(\delta_0a_1+\frac{a_1-1}{2})+(\delta_0+\cdots+\delta_{\Delta_0}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $l=\lfloor(\lceil q/c\rceil-1)\frac{1}{a_1}\rfloor$ and

$$\Delta_0=\left(\lceil\frac{q}{c}\rceil-1\right)-la_1=\frac{q}{c}+\epsilon_1-1-\lfloor\frac{q}{a_1c}+\frac{\epsilon_1-1}{a_1}\rfloor a_1. \tag{9}$$

Similarly

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/(ad+c)\rceil-1}\delta_m = l_1(\delta_0 a_1 + \frac{a_1-1}{2}) + (\delta_0 + \cdots + \delta_{\Delta_1}),$$

where $l_1 = \lfloor(\lceil q/(ad+c)\rceil - 1)\frac{1}{a_1}\rfloor$ and

(10)

$$\Delta_1 = \left(\lceil\frac{q}{ad+c}\rceil - 1\right) - l_1 a_1 = \frac{q}{(ad+c)} + \epsilon_0 - 1 - \lfloor\frac{q}{a_1(ad+c)} + \frac{\epsilon_0 - 1}{a_1}\rfloor a_1.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{m=\lceil q/(ad+c)\rceil}^{\lceil q/c\rceil-1}\delta_m = (l-l_1)(\delta_0 a_1 + \frac{a_1-1}{2}) + (\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i - \sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_1}\delta_i)$$

and since

$$\sum_{m=0}^{a_1-1}\delta_m^2 = a_1\delta_0^2 + (a_1-1)\delta_0 + \frac{(a_1-1)(2a_1-1)}{6a_1},$$

we have

$$\sum_{m=\lceil q/(ad+c)\rceil}^{\lceil q/c\rceil-1}\delta_m^2 = (l-l_1)\left[a_1\delta_0^2 + \delta_0(a_1-1) + \frac{(a_1-1)(2a_1-1)}{6a_1}\right] + (\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i^2 - \sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_1}\delta_i^2),$$

where

$$l - l_1 = \frac{ad}{a_1 c(ad+c)}q + (\frac{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_0 + \Delta_1 - \Delta_0}{a_1}),$$

from Equations (9) and (10).Let us write this as $l - l_1 = xq + y$. Therefore

$$\sum\frac{a}{2}\delta_m^2 + \sum(1-\frac{a}{2})\delta_m - \sum 1$$

$$= \frac{a}{2}(xq+y)\left[a_1\delta_0^2 + \delta_0(a_1-1) + \frac{(a_1-1)(2a_1-1)}{6a_1}\right] + \frac{a}{2}(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i^2 - \sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_1}\delta_i^2)$$

$$+(1-\frac{a}{2})(xq+y)\left[\delta_0 a_1 + \frac{a_1-1}{2}\right] + (1-\frac{a}{2})\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i - \sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_1}\delta_i\right) - \lceil q/c\rceil + \lceil q/(ad+c)\rceil.$$

$$= q[xM_1] + yM_1 + \frac{a}{2}(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i^2 - \sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_1}\delta_i^2) + (1-\frac{a}{2})\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i - \sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_1}\delta_i\right) - q\frac{ad}{c(ad+c)} + (\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_1),$$

where

$$M_1 = \frac{a}{2}\left[a_1\delta_0^2 + \delta_0(a_1-1) + \frac{(a_1-1)(2a_1-1)}{6a_1})\right] + (1-\frac{a}{2})\left[\delta_0 a_1 + \frac{a_1-1}{2}\right]$$

$$= \frac{aa_1\delta_0^2}{2} + \delta_0\frac{2a_1 - a}{2} + \frac{(a_1-1)(6a_1 - aa_1 - a)}{12a_1}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{m=\lceil q/(ad+c)\rceil}^{\lceil q/c\rceil-1}(\frac{a\delta_m}{2} + 1)(\delta_m - 1) = q\frac{ad}{ad+c}(\frac{M_1}{a_1} - \frac{1}{c}) + \left[(\frac{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_0 + \Delta_1 - \Delta_0}{a_1})M_1\right.$$

$$+\frac{a}{2}(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i^2-\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_1}\delta_i^2)+(1-\frac{a}{2})(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i-\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_1}\delta_i)+(\epsilon_0-\epsilon_1)\Bigg].$$

This proves part (1) of the lemma

(2) As in the previous case, one can see that

$$\sum_{m=\lceil (a+1)q/(ad+c)\rceil}^{\lceil q/c\rceil -1}\delta_m=(l-l_2)(\delta_0 a_1+\frac{a_1-1}{2})+(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i-\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_2}\delta_i)$$

and

$$\sum_{m=\lceil (a+1)q/(ad+c)\rceil}^{\lceil q/c\rceil -1}\delta_m^2=(l-l_2)\left[a_1\delta_0^2+\delta_0(a_1-1)+\frac{(a_1-1)(2a_1-1)}{6a_1}\right]+(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i^2-\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_2}\delta_i^2),$$

where $l_2=\lfloor(\lceil(a+1)q/(ad+c)\rceil-1)\frac{1}{a_1}\rfloor$ and

$$\Delta_2=(\lceil\frac{(a+1)q}{(ad+c)}\rceil-1)-l_2a_1=\frac{(a+1)q}{(ad+c)}+\bar{\epsilon}_0-1-\lfloor\frac{(a+1)q}{a_1(ad+c)}+\frac{\bar{\epsilon}_0-1}{a_1}\rfloor a_1.$$

Therefore $l-l_2=\frac{a(d-c)}{a_1c(ad+c)}q+(\frac{\epsilon_1-\bar{\epsilon_0}+\Delta_2-\Delta_0}{a_1})$.
Hence

$$-\sum\frac{a}{2}\delta_m^2+\sum(\frac{a}{2}-1)\delta_m$$

$$=q\frac{a(c-d)}{a_1c(ad+c)}(M_1)+(\frac{\bar{\epsilon_0}-\epsilon_1+\Delta_0-\Delta_2}{a_1})(M_1)+\frac{a-2}{2}(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i-\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_2}\delta_i)-\frac{a}{2}(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i^2-\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_2}\delta_i^2).$$

This proves the part (2) and hence the lemma. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}A &= \textstyle\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/d\rceil-1}d(q-md-1)\left[(q+m)\left(c+\frac{ad}{2}\right)+1\right]\\ &\quad+(d+1)(c+\frac{ad}{2})\Bigg[\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/(c+ad)\rceil-1}(md+1)\left(q-mc-1-\frac{amd}{2}\right)\\ &\quad+\sum_{\lceil q/(c+ad)\rceil}^{\lceil q/c\rceil-1}\lceil\frac{q-mc}{a}\rceil\left(q-mc-1-\frac{a}{2}\left(\lceil\frac{q-mc}{a}\rceil-1\right)\right)\Bigg],\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= q^3\left((c+\frac{ad}{2})\left[\frac{(d+1)d}{6c(ad+c)}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{6d}\right]\right)\\
&+q^2\left((c+\frac{ad}{2})(d+1)\left[\frac{1}{4c}+\frac{1}{4(ad+c)}-\frac{d}{2(ad+c)}\right]+\left(\frac{d}{2}-1-\frac{1}{2d}\right)\left(c+\frac{ad}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\right)\\
&+q\left((c+\frac{ad}{2})(d+1)\left[\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{12}-\frac{1}{c}-\left[\frac{1}{a_1}M_1-1\right]\frac{ad}{c(ad+c)}-\frac{d\epsilon_2^2}{2}+\frac{d\epsilon_2}{2}-\epsilon_2\right]\right.\\
&\left.+(c+\frac{ad}{2})(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{6})+(\frac{d}{2}-1)\right)\\
&-q^0\left((c+\frac{ad}{2})(d+1)\left\{\frac{(ad+c)\epsilon_0}{2}\left[\frac{(\epsilon_0-1)}{2}+\frac{ad+c}{3a}(\epsilon_0^2-\frac{3}{2}\epsilon_0+\frac{1}{2})\right]\right.\right.\\
&+\frac{c\epsilon_1}{2}\left[\frac{(\epsilon_1-1)}{2}-\frac{c}{3a}(\epsilon_1^2-\frac{3}{2}\epsilon_1+\frac{1}{2})\right]+(\frac{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_0+\Delta_1-\Delta_0}{a_1})M_1+\frac{a}{2}(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i^2-\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_1}\delta_i^2)\\
&\left.\left.+(1-\frac{a}{2})(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i-\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_1}\delta_i)+\epsilon_0-\frac{c\epsilon_1}{2a}(\epsilon_1-1)+\frac{c\epsilon_0}{2a}(\epsilon_0-1)+\frac{d\epsilon_0}{2}(\epsilon_0-1)\right\}\right.\\
&\left.+(c+\frac{ad}{2})(d\epsilon_2)\left[\frac{\epsilon_2^2 d}{3}-\frac{d\epsilon_2}{2}+\frac{d}{6}+\frac{\epsilon_2}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right]+\left[\frac{d^2\epsilon_2^2}{2}-\frac{d^2\epsilon_2}{2}+d\epsilon_2\right]\right),
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that

$$(md+1)\left(q-mc-1-\frac{amd}{2}\right)=md(q-mc-\frac{amd}{2})+(q-mc-\frac{amd}{2})-md-1$$

$$=\frac{2}{a}(\frac{q-mc}{2}-\frac{q-mc-amd}{2})(\frac{q-mc}{2}+\frac{q-mc-amd}{2})+(\frac{q-mc}{2}+\frac{q-mc-amd}{2})-md-1$$

$$=\frac{(q-mc)^2}{2a}+\frac{q-mc}{2}-1+\frac{(q-mc-amd)}{2}-\frac{(q-mc-amd)^2}{2a}-md.$$

On the other hand, for

$$\delta_m=\lceil(q-mc)/a\rceil-(q-mc)/a,$$

$$\lceil\frac{q-mc}{a}\rceil\left(q-mc-1-\frac{a}{2}\left(\lceil\frac{q-mc}{a}\rceil-1\right)\right)=(\frac{q-mc}{a}+\delta_m)\left(\frac{q-mc}{2}-1-\frac{a\delta_m}{2}+\frac{a}{2}\right)$$

$$=\frac{(q-mc)^2}{2a}-\frac{(q-mc)}{a}+\frac{q-mc}{2}+(\frac{a}{2}-1)\delta_m-\frac{a}{2}\delta_m^2.$$

Now combining all the terms we get

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/d\rceil-1}\left\{d(q-md-1)(q+m)(c+\frac{ad}{2})+d(q-md-1)\right\}\\
&+(d+1)(c+\frac{ad}{2})\left[\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/c\rceil-1}\left\{\frac{q-mc}{2}-1+\frac{(q-mc)^2}{2a}\right\}\right.\\
&+\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/c+ad\rceil-1}\left\{\frac{(q-amd-mc)}{2}-\frac{(q-amd-mc)^2}{2a}\right\}\\
&\left.-\sum_{m=\lceil q/c+ad\rceil}^{\lceil\frac{q}{c}\rceil-1}\left\{\frac{(q-mc)}{a}+(\frac{a\delta_m^2}{2}+(1-\frac{a}{2})\delta_m-1)\right\}-\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/c+ad\rceil-1}md\right].
\end{aligned}$$

By Remark 3.3, we can check that different terms in A can be written down as follows:

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/d\rceil-1} d(q-md-1)(q+m) = q^3\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{6d}\right)+q^2\left(\frac{d}{2}-1-\frac{1}{2d}\right)$$

$$+q\left((d+1)\left[\frac{-d\epsilon_2^2}{2}+\frac{d\epsilon_2}{2}-\epsilon_2\right]+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{6}\right)-q^0\left((d\epsilon_2)\left[\frac{d\epsilon_2^2}{3}-\frac{d\epsilon_2}{2}+\frac{d}{6}+\frac{\epsilon_2}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right]\right)$$

and

$$II(a) := \sum_{m=0}^{\lceil \frac{q}{c}\rceil-1}\left\{\frac{q-mc}{2}-1+\frac{(q-mc)^2}{2a}\right\}+\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil \frac{q}{(c+ad)}\rceil-1}\left\{\frac{(q-amd-mc)}{2}-\frac{(q-amd-mc)^2}{2a}\right\}$$

$$= q^3\left(\frac{d}{6c(ad+c)}\right)+q^2\left(\frac{1}{4c}+\frac{1}{4(ad+c)}\right)+q\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{12}-\frac{1}{c}\right)$$

$$-q^0\left((ad+c)\frac{\epsilon_0}{2}\left[\frac{(\epsilon_0-1)}{2}+\frac{ad+c}{3a}(\epsilon_0^2-\frac{3}{2}\epsilon_0+\frac{1}{2})\right]+\frac{c\epsilon_1}{2}\left[\frac{(\epsilon_1-1)}{2}-\frac{c}{3a}(\epsilon_1^2-\frac{3}{2}\epsilon_1+\frac{1}{2})\right]+\epsilon_1\right).$$

Now consider

$$II(b) := \sum_{m=\lceil q/c+ad\rceil}^{\lceil q/c\rceil-1}\left\{\frac{(q-mc)}{a}+(\frac{a\delta_m^2}{2}+(1-\frac{a}{2})\delta_m-1)\right\}+\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/c+ad\rceil-1} md.$$

Hence, by Remark 3.3 and part (1) of Lemma 3.4, and noting that

$$md-\frac{q-mc}{a} = -(\frac{q-m(c+ad)}{a}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} II(b) &= q^2\left(\frac{d}{2(ad+c)}\right)+q\left(\frac{ad}{ad+c}[\frac{1}{a_1c}M_1-\frac{1}{c}]\right)\\ &\quad +q^0\left((\frac{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_0+\Delta_1-\Delta_0}{a_1})M_1+\frac{a}{2}(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i^2-\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_1}\delta_i^2)\right.\\ &\quad \left.+(1-\frac{a}{2})(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i-\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_1}\delta_i)+\epsilon_0-\epsilon_1-\frac{c\epsilon_1}{2a}(\epsilon_1-1)+\frac{c\epsilon_0}{2a}(\epsilon_0-1)+\frac{d\epsilon_0}{2}(\epsilon_0-1)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &(d+1)(c+\frac{ad}{2})\ II = (d+1)(c+\frac{ad}{2})\ (II(a)-II(b))\\ &= (d+1)(c+\frac{ad}{2})\left\{q^3\left(\frac{d}{6c(ad+c)}\right)+q^2\left(\frac{1}{4c}+\frac{1}{4(ad+c)}-\frac{d}{2(ad+c)}\right)\right.\\ &+q\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{12}-\frac{1}{c}-\frac{ad}{ad+c}[\frac{1}{a_1c}M_1-\frac{1}{c}]\right)\\ &-q^0\left(\frac{(ad+c)\epsilon_0}{2}\left[\frac{(\epsilon_0-1)}{2}+\frac{ad+c}{3a}(\epsilon_0^2-\frac{3}{2}\epsilon_0+\frac{1}{2})\right]+\frac{c\epsilon_1}{2}\left[\frac{(\epsilon_1-1)}{2}-\frac{c}{3a}(\epsilon_1^2-\frac{3}{2}\epsilon_1+\frac{1}{2})\right]\right.\\ &+(\frac{\epsilon_1-\epsilon_0+\Delta_1-\Delta_0}{a_1})M_1+\frac{a}{2}(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i^2-\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_1}\delta_i^2)\\ &\left.\left.+(1-\frac{a}{2})(\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_0}\delta_i-\sum_{i=0}^{\Delta_1}\delta_i)+\epsilon_0-\frac{c\epsilon_1}{2a}(\epsilon_1-1)+\frac{c\epsilon_0}{2a}(\epsilon_0-1)+\frac{d\epsilon_0}{2}(\epsilon_0-1)\right)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$A = \sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/d\rceil -1} \left\{ d(q-md-1)(q+m)(c+\frac{ad}{2}) + d(q-md-1) \right\} + (d+1)(c+\frac{ad}{2})\ II,$$

using the above computations we get expression for A. □

Proof of the theorem:
Recall

$$HK(X,\mathcal{L})(q) = \sum_{m=0}^{q-1} h^0(X,\mathcal{L}^m) + \sum_{m\geq 0} |\text{coker } \Phi_m|,$$

where we have computed $\sum_{m=0}^{q-1} h^0(X,\mathcal{L}^m)$ in Equation (5). We can also rewrite

$$\sum_{m=0}^{q-1} h^0(X,\mathcal{L}^m) = q^3\left(\frac{d}{3}(c+\frac{ad}{2})\right) + q^2\left((-\frac{d}{2}+\frac{1}{2})(c+\frac{ad}{2})+\frac{d}{2}\right) + q\left((\frac{d}{6}-\frac{1}{2})(c+\frac{ad}{2})-\frac{d}{2}+1\right).$$

If $c \geq d$ then applying Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 to Corollary 2.2, we get $\sum_{m\geq 0}|\text{coker } \Phi_m| = A$. Now, by Lemma 3.5 and the expression given above we get $HK(X,\mathcal{L})(q)$ as stated in the theorem.

If $c < d$, then applying Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.4 and and Lemma 2.5 to Corollary 2.2, we get

$$\sum_{m\geq 0} |\text{coker } \Phi_m| = A + B,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B &= -\left(dc+(d+1)\frac{ad}{2}\right)\left\{ \sum_{m=\lceil q/d\rceil}^{\lceil \frac{(a+1)q}{(c+ad)}\rceil -1} (md-q+1)\left(q-mc-1-\frac{a}{2}(md-q)\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{m=\lceil (a+1)q/(c+ad)\rceil}^{\lceil q/c\rceil -1} \lceil\frac{q-mc}{a}\rceil \left(q-mc-1-\frac{a}{2}\left(\lceil\frac{q-mc}{a}\rceil -1\right)\right)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (md-q+1)\left(q-mc-1-\tfrac{a}{2}(md-q)\right) &= \frac{(q-mc)^2}{2a} - \frac{((a+1)q-(c+ad)m)^2}{2a} \\ &\quad +2q-mc-md-1-\frac{a}{2}(md-q), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sum_{m=\lceil q/d\rceil}^{\lceil (a+1)q/c+ad\rceil -1} \frac{((a+1)q-amd-mc)^2}{2a} = \sum_{m=0}^{\lceil (a+1)q/c+ad\rceil -1} \frac{((a+1)q-amd-mc)^2}{2a}$$

$$- \sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/d\rceil -1} \left\{ \frac{(q-mc)^2}{2a} + \frac{a}{2}(q-md)^2 + (q-md)(q-mc)\right\}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{m=\lceil q/d\rceil}^{\lceil \frac{(a+1)q}{(c+ad)}\rceil -1} (md-q+1)\left(q-mc-1-\frac{a}{2}(md-q)\right) = -\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil (a+1)q/c+ad\rceil -1} \frac{((a+1)q-amd-mc)^2}{2a}$$

$$+\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/d\rceil-1}\left\{\frac{(q-mc)^2}{2a}+\frac{a}{2}(q-md)^2+(q-md)(q-mc)\right\}$$
$$+\sum_{m=\lceil q/d\rceil}^{\lceil\frac{(a+1)q}{(c+ad)}\rceil-1}\left\{\frac{(q-mc)^2}{2a}+2q-mc-md-1-\frac{a}{2}(md-q)\right\}.$$

On the other hand

$$\sum_{m=\lceil(a+1)q/(c+ad)\rceil}^{\lceil q/c\rceil-1}\lceil\frac{q-mc}{a}\rceil\left(q-mc-1-\frac{a}{2}\left(\lceil\frac{q-mc}{a}\rceil-1\right)\right)=$$
$$\sum_{m=\lceil(a+1)q/(c+ad)\rceil}^{\lceil q/c\rceil-1}\frac{(q-mc)^2}{2a}-\frac{(q-mc)}{a}+\frac{q-mc}{2}+(\frac{a}{2}-1)\delta_m-\frac{a}{2}\delta_m^2.$$

Combining the terms we get

$$\begin{aligned}B &= \left(d(c+\tfrac{(d+1)a}{2})\right)\left\{\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil(a+1)q/c+ad\rceil-1}\frac{((a+1)q-amd-mc)^2}{2a}\right.\\
&-\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/c\rceil-1}\frac{(q-mc)^2}{2a}-\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/d\rceil-1}\frac{a}{2}(q-md)^2-\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/d\rceil-1}(q-md)(q-mc)\\
&-\sum_{m=\lceil(a+1)q/ad+c\rceil}^{\lceil q/c\rceil-1}\left\{(q-mc)\frac{a-2}{2a}+(\frac{a}{2}-1)\delta_m-\frac{a}{2}\delta_m^2).\right\}\\
&\left.-\sum_{m=\lceil q/d\rceil}^{\lceil(a+1)q/ad+c\rceil-1}(2q-mc-md-1-\frac{a}{2}(md-q))\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{m=\lceil(a+1)q/ad+c\rceil}^{\lceil q/c\rceil-1}\frac{(a-2)}{2a}(q-mc)+\sum_{m=\lceil q/d\rceil}^{\lceil(a+1)q/ad+c\rceil-1}(2q-mc-md-1-\frac{a}{2}(md-q))$$
$$=\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/c\rceil-1}\frac{(a-2)}{2a}(q-mc)+\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil(a+1)q/c+ad\rceil-1}\frac{a+2}{2a}((a+1)q-amd-mc)$$
$$-\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/d\rceil-1}\left\{\frac{a+2}{2}(q-md)+(q-mc)\right\}-\sum_{m=\lceil q/d\rceil}^{\lceil(a+1)q/ad+c\rceil-1}1.$$

Therefore

$$B=\left(d(c+\tfrac{(d+1)a}{2})\right)\left\{\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil(a+1)q/c+ad\rceil-1}\frac{((a+1)q-amd-mc)^2}{2a}-\frac{(a+2)}{2a}((a+1)q-amd-mc)\right.$$
$$-\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/c\rceil-1}\left\{\frac{(q-mc)^2}{2a}+\frac{(a-2)}{2a}(q-mc)\right\}-\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/d\rceil-1}\left\{\frac{a}{2}(q-md)^2-\frac{(a+2)}{2}(q-md)\right\}$$
$$\left.-\textstyle\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/d\rceil-1}(q-md-1)(q-mc)-\sum_{m=\lceil(a+1)q/(ad+c)\rceil}^{\lceil q/c\rceil-1}\left((\frac{a}{2}-1)\delta_m-\frac{a}{2}\delta_m^2\right)+\sum_{m=\lceil q/d\rceil}^{\lceil(a+1)q/ad+c\rceil-1}1\right\}.$$

Now, by Remark 3.3, we can write down the remaining terms of the expression as follows:

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil\frac{(a+1)q}{c+ad}\rceil-1}((a+1)q-amd-mc)^2=\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil\frac{(a+1)q}{c+ad}\rceil-1}((a+1)q-m(c+ad))^2$$

$$q^3\left(\frac{(a+1)^3}{3(ad+c)}\right)+q^2\left(\frac{(a+1)^2}{2}\right)+q\left(\frac{(a+1)(ad+c)}{6}\right)+q^0\left(\frac{(ad+c)^2\bar{\epsilon_0}}{3}(\bar{\epsilon_0}^2-\frac{3}{2}\bar{\epsilon_0}+\frac{1}{2})\right)$$

and

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\lceil q/d\rceil-1}(q-md-1)(q-mc)=\frac{1}{d^2}\left[q^3\left(\frac{d}{2}-\frac{c}{6}\right)+q^2\left(\frac{d^2}{2}-d+\frac{c}{2}\right)\right.$$
$$+q\left(\frac{d^3\epsilon_2}{2}(1-\epsilon_2)-\frac{cd^2\epsilon_2}{2}(1-\epsilon_2)+\frac{cd^2}{6}+\frac{dc(\epsilon_2-1)}{2}-d^2\epsilon_2\right)$$
$$\left.+q^0\left(\frac{cd^3\epsilon_2}{3}(\epsilon_2^2-\frac{3}{2}\epsilon_2+\frac{1}{2})-\frac{cd^2\epsilon_2(\epsilon_2-1)}{2}\right)\right].$$

We see that coefficient of q^2 in the term B

$$=d(c+\frac{(d+1)a}{2})\left[\left(\frac{(a+1)^2}{4a}-\frac{(a+2)(a+1)^2}{4a(c+ad)}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{4a}+\frac{a-2}{4ac}\right)-\left(\frac{a}{4}-\frac{(a+2)}{4d}\right)\right.$$
$$\left.-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{d}+\frac{c}{2d^2}\right)\right]=-d(c+\frac{(d+1)a}{2})\left[\frac{(a+2)(a+1)^2}{4a(c+ad)}+\frac{a-2}{4ac}-\frac{(a+2)}{4d}-\frac{1}{d}+\frac{c}{2d^2}\right]$$

Now putting together we get $HK(X,\mathcal{L})(q)$, for the case $c<d$.

In particular the coefficient of q will also involve ϵ_2, a_1 and δ_0. On the other hand coefficient of q^0 has terms involving ϵ_0, $\bar{\epsilon_0}$, ϵ_2, ϵ_1, $\delta_0,\cdots,\delta_{a_1-1}$, Δ_0, Δ_2.

References

[B] Bondal, A.I., *Derived categories of toric varieties*, Oberwolfach Report 5/2006, pp. 284-286.

[Br] Bruns, W., *Conic divisor classes over a normal monoid algebra*, Commutative algebra and algebraic geometry, 6371, Contemp. Math., 390, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[CA] Choi, S., An, J., *The Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of three dimensional toric rings*, Proceedings of National Insitute of Mathematical Sciences, Vol 1, No. 5(2006) p.p.85-91.

[C] Conca, A., *Hilbert-Kunz function of monomial ideals and binomial hypersurfaces*, Manuscripta Math. **90** (1996), 287-300.

[F] Fulton, W., *Introduction to Toric Varieties*, Study 131 (1993), Annals od Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press.

[H] Huneke, C., *Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity and the F-signature*, arXiv:1409.0467v1 [math.AC] 1 Sep 2014.

[K1] Kunz, E., *Characterizations of regular rings of characteristic p*, Amer. J. Math. **41** (1969), 772-784.

[K2] Kunz, E., *On Noetherian rings of characteristic p*, Am. J. Math. **98** (1976), 999-1013.

[LM] Lasoń, M.; Michalek, M., *On the full, strongly exceptional collections on toric varieties with Picard number three*, Collect. Math. 62 (2011), no. 3, 275-296.

[M1] Monsky, P., *The Hilbert-Kunz function*, Math. Ann. **263** (1983), 43-49.

[M2] Monsky, P., *Hilbert-Kunz theory for nodal cubics, via sheaves*, J. Algebra 346 (2011), 180-188.

[NT] Fakhruddin, N.; Trivedi, V., *Hilbert-Kunz functions nd multiplicities for full flag varieties and elliptic curves*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra **181** (2003) 23-52.

[W] Watanabe, K.-i., *Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of Toric rings*, Proc. Inst. Nat. Sci. (Nihon Univ.) **35** (2000), 173-177.

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai-400005, India

E-mail address: vija@math.tifr.res.in